BNSF Rail Testing Risk Factors for Determining Frequency of Inspections

t



Rail Defect Detection

BNSF operates 25-32 rail defect detection vehicles over all main tracks, sidings, and designated yard tracks, with test frequencies ranging from 30 to 365 days. Three of the test vehicles are owned and the remainder are under multi-year service contracts. The objective of these vehicles is to identify internal rail defects so that remedial repairs can be made prior to the defect resulting in a service failed broken rail. It should be noted that not all internal rail defects are detectable. Each of the three contract rail defect detection service providers are engaged in R&D to improve the efficiency of rail defect detection.

Risk factors, defined as desired maximum internal defect service failures per mile per year, have been established for each mainline subdivision. To determine risk factor for a subdivision, we start with a baseline factor of 0.09. This is slightly lower than industry average 0.10-0.15 service failures/mile/year range. At 0.09 we are attempting to set D-car frequency so that during a 12 month period we have no more than nine internal defect service failures per 100 miles. We then reduce from baseline for traffic and operational considerations as follows:

- Passenger trains, -0.02
- ♦ AAR Hazmat Key Route (10,000 cars hazmat/year), -0.01
- ♦ Nonsignaled territory, -0.02
- ♦ Single track, -0.01

For example, for a single-track non-signaled segment with no passenger trains and hazmat less than 10,000 cars/year, risk factor would be 0.09 (baseline) -0.02 (for no signals) -0.01 (for single track) = 0.06.

We use a rail test scheduling system from consulting firm Zeta-Tech that analyzes our defect statistics and recommends test frequency for each subdivision to keep internal defect service failures below risk factor. Defect rates drive the model, so factors that influence defect rates, such as rail conditions, wheel loads, annual tonnage, and accumulated tonnage, automatically are built into the model. The system is based on Weibull statistical analysis that anticipates rail degradation. As defect rates change over time, the system automatically adjusts rail test frequencies to keep service failures below risk factor.

To avoid overscheduling rail detection, the model does not consider defects that are not detectable with ultrasonic test cars. These include rail base defects, and thermite weld web and base defects. Crushed heads are not internal defects and are not considered by the model, since they are visible without ultrasonic test equipment.

Rail Detection Target Frequencies - North Operations September 2000 - March 2001

•

 \cdot

I,

.

Division	Sub Division	Line Segment	Station From	Milepoet From	Station To	Milepoet To	Track	Total Miles	Ann MGT	09/01/69 - 03/31/00 Internal Defects	DoliMile Per Year	64/01/58 - 63/31/00 Service Defects	Riek Fector	Last Avg Teet Days	00/01/00- 03/31/01 Rec Freq	09/01/00- 03/31/01 Target Freq	MGT/Per Teat
	Bayard	134	Council BI	382.50	Bayard	484.75	S	102.25	1.11	2	0.03	0	0.06	160	1000	365	1.1
NE_	Beatrice	152	Creta		Wymore	42.60	8	41.90	0.91	10	0.41	3	0.06	203	140		0.5
NE	Bellwood	147	Seward		Columbus	66.50	S	41.00	1.44	59	2.47	0	0.06	208	1000	365	1.4
NE	Benedict	148	York		Benedict	144.00	S	8,80	0.13	0	0.00	0	0.06	383	1000	365	0.1
	Council Bifs	136	Pac Jot	475.00	Council Bifs	496.14	8	21.14	5.61	0	0.00	0	0.06	166	1000	365	5.6
the second se	Creston	1	Creston		Balfour	466.45	8	46.10	103.52	65	2.42	10	0.06	41	32	30	8.5
	Creston	1	Prescott		Pacific Jct	475.10	1	36.10	22.18	41	1.95	2	0.07	41	38	30	1.8
	Creston	1	Prescott	405.70	Pacific Jot	475.10	2	36.10	81.52	39	1.85	9	0.07	48	33	30	6.7
	Creston	2	Pacific Jot	0.00	Oreapolis	8.87	S, 1, 2	12.74	115.53	35	4.71	8	0.06	36	13	30	9.5
	Creston	2	Oreapolis		Ashland	35.09	8	26.22	105.13	41	2.68	8	0.06	31	21	30	8.6
	Creston	2	Ashland		Lincoln	60.07	1, 2	49.96	66.59	54	1.85	9	0.07	30	23	30	5.5
	Farragut	93	Red Oak		Farragut	25.60	S	25.20	0.26	39	2.65	0	0.06	367	1000	365	0.3
	Giltner	160	GH Jot		Aurora	26.40	8	26.00	1.55	2	0.13	0	0.06	144	1000	365	1.5
	Hastings		Cushman		Cobb	7.80	S	3.90	23.19	0	0.00	0	0.06	95	362	120	7.6
	Hastings	2	Lincoln		Aurora Line Jo	154.91	8	94.84	23.00	23	0.42	0	0.06	99	224	120	7.6
NE	Hastings	2	Aurora Line Jct		McCook	287.80	1, 2, S	135.99	20.26	16	0.20	2	0.06	98	409	120	6.7
NE	Lester		Hastings		Lester	37.00	S	36.30	0.92	63	2.97	0	0.06	392	1000	180	0.5
	Napier		Napier		Pacific Jot	173.90	S	76.50	8.32	9	0.20	1	0.06	89	922	180	4.1
	Omaha	137	Omaha-Oreapolis		Ashland	47.00	S, 1, 2	49.28	13.76	16	0.56	2	0.06	57	54	50	1.9
	Palmer		Aurora		Marquette	11.00	S	10.40	0.20	13	2.14	0	0.06	384	1000	365	0.2
	Ravenna	4	Pleasant Dale		McDonaid	99.21	S	36.19	197.70	60	2.84	5	0.08	31	29	30	16.2
the second se	Ravenna		Cushman		Ravenna	127.70	1	87.11	98,85	33	0.65	4	0.09	35	57	- 30	8.1
	Ravenna		Cushman		Ravenna	127.70	the second se	87.11	98.85	42	0.83	10	0.09	34	32	30	8.1
	Sioux City	144	Ashland		Sioux City	108.00	S	107.96	23.63	39	0.62	11	0.08	85	61	60	3.9
	St Joseph	16	Clarke		Napier	97.40		100.04	116.94	120	2.06	13	0.07	32	29	30	9.6
	St Joseph		Napier		Table Rock	145.00	S	47.60	98.50	45	1.62	11	0.07	27	20	30	8.1
	St Joseph	3000	Table Rock	145.00		207.50	S, 1, 2	72.90	99.03	66	1.55	19	0.07	26	19	30	8,1
NE	Wymore	19	Table Rik	48.20	Oxford	257.40	S	209.20	0.34	51	0.42	0	0.06	364	1000	365	0.3